The Effect of Lifestyle Modification on Treatment and Prevention of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: A Systematic Review

Marwah Alkhamis¹, Aqilah Alabbad¹, Rawabi Aldawlah¹ (1) MBBS, college of medicine, King Faisal University

Abstract— **Background:** The incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus is increasing worldwide. A large proportion of these patients go undiagnosed. Enhanced prevention before onset of overt disease through lifestyle modification is crucial to reducing health complications and the economic burden attributable to cardiometabolic disease. This systematic review consolidates recent evidence on the effectiveness of lifestyle-base interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes.

Methods: In order to identify the relevant studies, we searched on different database by using terms and constructions related to the effect of lifestyle modification on treatment and prevention of T2DM. The search was restricted to studies published in English and those among adults. Studies with irrelevant titles, abstracts only and duplicates were excluded. Through inclusion and exclusion criteria, relevant studies were selected. Data was retrospectively extracted and utilized from relevant 15 studies to see the effect of lifestyle modifications on weight loss and its effect on HbA1c on type 2 diabetes.

Results: Fifteen studies were included in this systematic review. The quality assessment was done using Jadad score and a score of 2 was considered adequate. The fifteen studies recruited samples ranging from 50 to 3234 participants. The reported mean age was, on average, 48 years. The average proportion of female participants within studies was 57.9%. Mean baseline BMI was 31.95 kg/m² and mean baseline HbA1c was 7.9%. Mean post intervention BMI was 30.64 kg/m² (range, 24.5 to 35.5 kg/m²) and mean post intervention HbA1c was 6.5%. Intervention duration ranged from 4-months to ten years.

Conclusions: The results of this systemic review are consistent with conclusions of former reviews, confirming the importance of comprehensive lifestyle interventions combining diet and physical activity to decrease the incidence of type 2 diabetes in high-risk population groups and to control the disease progression in affected individuals.

_____ 🔶 _____

Index Terms— HbA1c, Interventions, Lifestyle modifications, Prevention, Systematic review, Type 2 diabetes, Weight loss.

1 INTRODUCTION:

The incidence of type 2 diabetes mellitus is increasing worldwide. It is estimated globally the diabetes rate has risen by 45% over the past two decades. The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimated that the number of adults affected by diabetes in 2013 was 387 million, with a projected increase of up to 592 million by 2035 [1]. Type 2 diabetes which is formerly called non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus, results from the interaction between a genetic predisposition and behavioral and environmental risk factors [2]. Although the genetic basis of type 2 diabetes has yet to be identified, there is strong evidence that such modifiable risk factors as obesity and physical inactivity are the main non-genetic determinants of the disease [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10].

Obesity increases the risk of developing type 2 diabetes, and complicates management in those with the disease by increasing insulin resistance and blood glucose levels, and increasing risk of dyslipidemia, hypertension, cardiovascular disease and mortality [11].

The diagnosis of type 2 diabetes is often delayed until complications are present [12]. Since current methods of treating diabetes remain inadequate, prevention is preferable [13]. The purpose of this review was to assess evidence from the previous researches on the effectiveness of lifestyle-based modifications interventions such as diet, exercise, and education, on change in weight and its effect on HbA1c in adults with type 2 diabetes (T2DM).

2 METHOD

2.1 Search Process

In order to identify relevant studies related to the effect of lifestyle modification in type 2 diabetes, we searched on PubMed, Google scholar and deepdyve database by using following terms and constructions: "diabetes type 2" OR "Impaired glucose tolerance" OR "prediabetic" OR "Noninsulin dependent diabetes" AND " lifestyle modification" OR "lifestyle advice program" Or "diet" OR "weight-loss interventions" AND "prevention" OR "nonpharmacological prevention". We restricted our search to studies published in English and those among adults only. In addition, the reference lists of all included studies were scanned to identify any other relevant studies.

2.2 Study Selection

To select studied relevant for this review, we screened titles and abstracts using a three-step process. At the first step, each one of us independently selected studies based on abstracts and titles. Then rejected all those with irrelevant titles, abstracts only and duplicates. After that we cross- matched our findings and retrieve the applicable studies. Finally, 15 studies were screened by reading the full papers.

The study selection was guided by the following inclusion criteria:

Reported on intervention outcomes from a randomized / look ahead trial.

Were conducted in adults (age \geq 18 years old) and BMI \geq 24

Were conducted in those with IFG/IGT or diagnosed with T2DM

Primarily focused on weight loss.

Through lifestyle interventions.

Studies with comparison groups which could be control/usual care or other intervention

Published in English.

2.3 Exclusion criteria:

Conducted in diabetic type 1.

Weight change and HbA1c outcomes were not reported.

2.4 Data Extraction and Synthesis

The following data were extracted and tabulated: study methodology (e.g. study design and sample size), Participant characteristics (e.g. mean age, mean body mass index [BMI]), intervention details (e.g. duration of contact and mode of delivery) and the intervention effect (i.e. mean [SD] change from baseline to follow-up for weight and HbA1c outcomes).

2.5 Assessment of Study Quality

We used the Oxford quality scoring system (Jadad score) to assess the quality of each study and minimize the risk of bias. Three questions were asked and answered by a "yes or a "No" for each study. The questioner is composed of three questions that includes if the study was described as randomized, double blind and/ or was there withdrawals and dropouts. Each "yes" was scored with one point and each "No" with zero point. An additional point was added if the randomization or double blind was described appropriately in the study. The score was used to interpret the results but no study was excluded on this basis.

Study	Population	Intervention	Variable of interest	Comparison	Type of assessment	Time
D. L. Ellsworth et al. 2016 [14]	Intensive: ≥ 18 y.o. , IGT/IFG, BMI ≥30, HTN ≥140/90 mmHg or high total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL Moderate: ≥ 18 y.o., IFG/IGT =, BMI ≥ 25, or high total cholesterol ≥200 mg/dL	Intensive Lifestyle modification	Diabetes prevention, Control of diabetes	Moderate lifestyle modification	HbA1C, BMI	1 year
A Goday et al. 2016 [15]	30-65 y.o. T2DM, BMI 30-35	Weight-loss program	Control of diabetes	Standard hypocaloric diet	HbA1C, BMI	4 months

Archana Gupta	25-75 y.o. T2DM,	Lifestyle	Control of	Routine care		
Et al.	IFG, BMI ≥ 25	modification and dietary	diabetes		HbA1C, BMI	1 year
2014 [16]		intervention				
Vegard Nilsen	Mean age 46, $BMI \ge$	Lifestyle modification	Diabetes	Routine care		
Et al.	37, prediabetic	modification	prevention		HbA1C, BMI	18 months
2011 [17]						
Ghada Asaad	Mean age 59.2 y/o, T2DM, BMI \geq 25	Lifestyle modification	Control of diabetes	Routine care		
Et al.	$12DWI, DWI \ge 25$	mouncation	ulabeles		HbA1C, BMI	6 months
2016 [18]						
Knowler WC et al	\geq 25 y.o. Non- diabetic, IFG, BMI	Lifestyle modification	Diabetes prevention	Metformin		
2002 [13]	≥24	(weight loss program)	prevention		HbA1C, BMI	2 years
Elizabeth B. Lynch,	\geq 18 y.o., uncontrolled	Lifestyle modification	Glycemic control	Routine care		
et al	T2DM, BMI ≥24	modification	control		HbA1C, BMI	12 months
2015 [19]						
Anita P.	25-55 y.o. T2DM,	Lifestyle	Control of	Bariatric		
Courcoulas et al	IFG, BMI \ge 30	modification	Control of diabetes	surgery	HbA1C, BMI	3 years
2015 [20]						2
The Look AHEAD	45-76 y.o. T2DM,	Lifestyle	Control of	Routine care		
Research Group	BMI≥25	modification	diabetes		HbA1C, BMI	4 years
2011 [21]	40 50		Diabetes			
A. Ramachandran et al.	40- 50 mean age, IGT, BMI 24-28	Lifestyle modification	prevention	Metformin	HbA1C, BMI	3 years
2006 [22]					·, ·	-)
Elizabeth J. Mayer-	≥25 y.o. IGT, BMI	Lifestyle	Diabetes	Placebo		
davis et al	≥24	modification (weight loss	prevention		HbA1C, BMI	1 year
2007 [23]		intervention)				
S. Sonomtseren et al.	≥25 y.o. T2DM, BMI≥25	Lifestyle modification	Control of diabetes	Routine care		
2016 [24]	2	(Weight loss	anocico		HbA1C, BMI	6 months
		intervention)				
Jaakko Tuomilahta ot al	40- 65 y.o. IGT,	Lifestyle	Diabetes	Routine care		
Tuomilehto, et al.	BMI >25,	modification	prevention		HbA1C, BMI	3 years
2001 [25]	>18 y.o. IFG/IGT,	Lifestyle	Delay onset of	Routine care		
Angela O'Dea et al.	>18 y.o. IFG/IG1, BMI≥24	modification	Delay onset of DM	Koutille Care	HbA1C, BMI	1 year
L		<u> </u>	IJSER © 2018		I	I

IJSER © 2018 http://www.ijser.org International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research Volume 9, Issue 1, January-2018 ISSN 2229-5518

2015 [26]									
Guangwei Li et al 2008 [27]	Mean age 44.7 , IGT, BMI ≥24	Lifestyle modification	Diabetes prevention	Routine care	HbA1C, BMI	20 years			
Table 1: A summary of methods of included studies y.o.= years old , FG= fasting glucose, IFG= impaired fasting glucose, IGT= impaired glucose tplerance,T2DM= type 2 diabetes mellitus, BMI= body mass index in kg/m2 , HbA1c= hemoglobin A1c									

3 RESULTS

The search identified 335 studies. Of these, we read 22 research having relative titles. Five of these studies were excluded based on abstract. Two systematic reviews were excluded. Fifteen studies were included in this systematic review.

The fifteen studies recruited samples ranging from 50 to 3234 participants. The reported mean age was, on average, 48 years (range, 44 to 60 years). The average proportion of female participants within studies was 57.9% (range, 20.7 to 100%). Mean baseline BMI was 31.95 kg/m² (range, 25.8 to 36.4 kg/m²) and mean baseline HbA1c was 7.9% (range,

7.3 to 9.8%). Mean post intervention BMI was 30.64 kg/m² (range, 24.5 to 35.5 kg/m²) and mean post intervention HbA1c was 6.5% (range, 5.5 to 7.34%). Intervention duration ranged from 4-months to ten years. Nine studies compared lifestyle intervention to a control group, which received usual care or some form of brief diabetes education [3], [4], [5], [7], [9], [11], [13], [14], [15]. The other six studies compared lifestyle intervention to an intervention comparison group (i.e. no control).

Summarization of the Results on Effectiveness of Lifestyle-based Interventions for People with Type 2 Diabetes or impaired glucose tolerance

Author	Sample Size	Men	Women	Mean age	Duration of intervention	Baseline BMI (kg/m²)	Post intervention BMI(kg/m ²)	Baselin e HbA1c %	Post intervention HbA1c%
D. L. Ellsworth et al [14]	327	163	164	60.3	1 year	34	33	7.6	6.9
A Goday et al [15]	89	31	58	54.53	4 months	33.07	32.88	6.89	6.88
Archana Gupta et al [16]	56	35	21	50.1	1 year	28	25	8.91	6.72
Vegard Nilsen et al [17]	213	106	107	46	18 months	36.4	35.5	5.8	5.5
Ghada Asaad et al [18]	73	39	34	59.2	6 months	32.5	32	8.0	7.3
Knowler WC et al [13]	3234	1043	2191	50.6	2 years	34	33.2	5.91	5.91

Elizabeth B. Lynch, et al [19]	256	114	142	49.6	1 year	27.6	25.9	6.9	6.6
Anita P. Courcoulas et al [20]	61	11	50	47.3	3 years	35.7	34	7.8	6.82
The Look AHEAD Research Group [21]	5145	2109	3036	58.7	4 years	36	33.2	7.6	7.2
A. Ramachandr an et al [22]	531	421	110	45.9	3 years	25.8	25.8	6.2	6.2
Elizabeth J. Mayer-davis et al [23]	2934	955	1979	>25	1 year	>24		6.9	6.5
S. Sonomtseren et al [24]	92	42	50	48	6 months	31.5	29.9	8.5	6
Jaakko Tuomilehto, et al [25]	522	172	350	55	3 years	31.1	30.2	7.9	6.4
Angela O'Dea et al [26]	50	0	50	50	1 year	35.49	33.93	5.37	5.33
Guangwei Li et al [27]	576	312	264	44.7	10 years	26.2	24.5	7.76	7.34
Table 2: A sum BMI: body mas	2	e results o	of included	studies.	1				

3.1 Quality Assessment:

Jadad score [28] was used to assess the quality of the studies. Since all the studies we found about this topic are

not double blinded, a score of 2 or more was considered adequate.

Out of the fifteen studies, six studies scored 3 [17], [18], [22], [25], [26], [27] and nine studies scored 2 [14], [15], [16], [13], [19], [20], [21], [23], [24].

4 DISCUSSION

Comprehensive systematic review of randomized clinical trials was conducted to assess the effect of a multifaceted lifestyle intervention and weight loss on patient-centered outcomes, such as progression to type 2 diabetes for highrisk patients and progression of the disease in Adults with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Compared with the usual care and health education, this intervention resulted in a significant reduction in Body Mass Index and HbA1c. Based on the results of these studies, lifestyle-based weight loss intervention in marked improvements in glycemic control according to HbA1c levels. These results are similar to those found in other earlier reviews [29], [30]. Modest weight loss of at least 5% of body weight is encouraged for people with type 2 diabetes who are overweight or obese [31]. Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of death among diabetic patients. Lifestyle interventions have the potential to improve several risk factors for CVD such as glycemic control, blood pressure and lipids [18].

Among the fifteen studies reviewed here, the types of interventions applied and parameters of assessment differ characteristically. The highest reduction in body mass index was 2.8 kg/m² achieved by The Look AHEAD group using intensive lifestyle modification by following a strict diet and doing regular exercises [21] and the greatest reduction in HbA1c achieved was 2.5% achieved by S. Sonomtseren et al [24] using in-person counseling and telephone follow up to instruct participants about their dietary modifications and exercise activities.

The biggest weakness of most studies is that the follow up of the participants stopped after the intervention period. Only one study was concerned about the maintenance of the results after the intervention [21] and post intervention follow-up showed that weight gain is very common after large weight loss making it very important to follow the subjects afterwards [21].

From the reviewed studies, when other interventions compared with lifestyle modifications. Lifestyle modifications through nutrition and physical activity remain the most effective intervention in reducing body weight and improvement of glycemic control or/and prevention of T2DM through weight reduction than hypocaloric diet [15], use of metformin only [13], [22] Bariatric surgery only [20] or placebo [23].

4.1 Limitations

We had faced some limitations doing this systematic review. These are mainly related to the shortage of time, lack of experience, and restriction to studies published in English language. Also the shortage of the amount of free full text researches was one of the biggest limitations. Another limitation is that the studies used in this systematic review used different components of intervention and ways of assessment and comparison. Also, Assessment of quality of studies revealed methodological shortcomings. The quality of studies was moderate, and some of studies were poorly reported: randomization methods, assessor blinding, approaches to missing data were not mentioned clearly.

5 CONCLUSION:

The results of this systemic review are consistent with conclusions of former reviews, confirming the importance of comprehensive lifestyle interventions combining diet and physical activity to decrease the incidence of type 2 diabetes in high-risk population groups and to control the disease progression in affected individuals.

Evidence-based and interdisciplinary approaches, drawing upon clinical/behavioral, pharmacological, and genomic evidence need exploration in future trials of lifestyle-based interventions among those with type 2 diabetes to improve their effectiveness. Furthermore, future studies need to report on outcomes that are important for informing translation into practice such as cost-effectiveness and longer-term maintenance post intervention.

REFERENCES:

- Al-Yaarubi, Saif, Azza Al-Shidani, and Sumaya Habib. "Preventing the Future Pandemic of Diabetes Mellitus in Oman." Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal 15.3 (2015): e303–e304. PMC. Web. 19 Oct. 2016.
- [2] Neel JV. Diabetes mellitus: a "thrifty" genotype rendered detrimental by "progress"? Am J Hum Genet 1962;14:353-362
- [3] Tuomilehto J, Wolf E. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1987;10:238-248
- [4] King H, Dowd JE. Primary prevention of type 2 (non-insulindependent) diabetes mellitus. Diabetologia 1990;33:3-8
- [5] Tuomilehto J, Tuomilehto-Wolf E, Zimmet P, Alberti K, Knowler W. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus. In: Alberti K, Zimmet P, DeFronzo R, Keen H, eds. International textbook of diabetes mellitus. Chichester, England: John Wiley, 1997:1799-827.
- [6] Hamman RF. Genetic and environmental determinants of noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM). Diabetes Metab Rev 1992;8:287-338
- [7] Zimmet PZ. Primary prevention of diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 1988;11:258-262
- [8] Stern MP. Kelly West Lecture: primary prevention of type II diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care1991;14:399-410
- [9] Ohlson LO, Larsson B, Bjorntorp P, et al. Risk factors for type 2 (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus: thirteen and one-half years of follow-up of the participants in a study of Swedish men born in 1913. Diabetologia 1988;31:798-805
- [10] Manson JE, Rimm EB, Stampfer MJ, et al. Physical activity and incidence of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus in women. Lancet 1991;338:774-778
- [11] American Diabetes Association, North American Association for the Study of Obesity, American Society for Clinical Nutrition, et al. Weight management through lifestyle modification for the prevention and management of type 2 diabetes: Rationale and strategies: A statement of the American Diabetes Association, the North American Association for the Study of Obesity, and the American Society for Clinical Nutrition. Diabetes Care. 2004; 27: (8): 2067-73.
- [12] Harris MI, Eastman RC. Early detection of undiagnosed diabetes mellitus: a US perspective. Diabetes Metab Res Rev2001;16:230-236
- [13] Knowler WC, Barrett-Connor E, Fowler SE, Hamman RF, Lachin JM, Walker EA, Nathan DM. Reduction in the incidence of type 2

diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. N Engl J Med. 2002 Feb 7;346(6):393-403.

- [14] Ellsworth D.L., Costantino N.S., Blackburn H.L, Engler R.J.M, Kashani M, Vernalis M.N. Lifestyle modification interventions differing in intensity and dietary stringency improve insulin resistance through changes in lipoprotein profiles. Obes Sci Pract. 2016 Sep; 2(3): 282–292.
- [15] Goday A, Bellido D, Sajoux I, Crujeiras A B, Burguera B, García-Luna P P, Oleaga A, Moreno B, Casanueva F F. Short-term safety, tolerability and efficacy of a very low-calorie-ketogenic diet interventional weight loss program versus hypocaloric diet in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. e230; doi:10.1038/nutd.2016.36.
- [16] Gupta A, Agarwal NK, Byadgi PS. Clinical assessment of dietary interventions and lifestyle modifications in Madhumeha (type- 2 Diabetes Mellitus). Ayu. 2014 Oct-Dec; 35(4): 391–397.
- [17] Nilsen V, Bakke PS, Gallefoss F. Effects of lifestyle intervention in persons at risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus - results from a randomised, controlled trial. BMC Public Health. 2011; 11:893.
- [18] Asaad G, Soria-Contreras DCBell, R C, Chan C B. Effectiveness of a Lifestyle Intervention in Patients with Type 2 Diabetes: The Physical Activity and Nutrition for Diabetes in Alberta (PANDA) Trial. Healthcare 2016, 4(4), 73; doi:10.3390/healthcare4040073.
- [19] Lynch EB, Liebman R, Ventrelle J, Keim K, Appelhans BM, Avery EF, Tahsin B, Li H, Shapera M, Fogelfeld L. Design of the Lifestyle Improvement through Food and Exercise (LIFE) study: A randomized controlled trial of self-management of type 2 diabetes among African American patients from safety net health centers. Contemp Clin Trials. 2014 Nov; 39(2): 246–255.
- [20] Courcoulas A.P, Belle S.H., Neiberg R.H, Pierson S.K, Eagleton J K, Kalarchian M.A, DeLany J.P, Lang W, Jakicic J.M. Three Year Outcomes of Bariatric Surgery vs. Lifestyle Intervention for Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Treatment: A Randomized Trial. JAMA Surg. 2015 Oct; 150(10): 931–940.
- [21] The Look AHEAD Research Group. Long Term Effects of a Lifestyle Intervention on Weight and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Individuals with Type 2 Diabetes: Four Year Results of the Look AHEAD Trial. Archives of internal medicine. 2010;170(17):1566-1575.
- [22] Ramachandran A, Snehalatha C, Mary S, Mukesh B, Bhaskar AD, Vijay V; Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme (IDPP). The Indian Diabetes Prevention Programme shows that lifestyle modification and metformin prevent type 2 diabetes in Asian Indian subjects with impaired glucose tolerance (IDPP-1). Diabetologia. 2006 Feb;49(2):289-97. Epub 2006 Jan 4.
- [23] Mayer-Davis EJ, Sparks KC, Hirst K, Costacou T, Lovejoy JC,

Regensteiner JG, Hoskin MA, Kriska AM, Bray GA; Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Dietary intake in the diabetes prevention program cohort: baseline and 1-year post randomization. Ann Epidemiol. 2004 Nov;14(10):763-72.

- [24] Sonomtseren S, Sankhuu Y, Warfel JD, Johannsen DL, Peterson CM, Vandanmagsar B. Lifestyle modification intervention improves glycemic control in Mongolian adults who are overweight or obese with newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. Obesity Science & Practice. 2016;2(3):303-308.
- [25] Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V, Uusitupa M; Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study Group. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. N Engl J Med. 2001 May 3;344(18):1343-50.
- [26] Angela O'Dea, Marie Tierney, Brian E. McGuire, et al., "Can the Onset of Type 2 Diabetes Be Delayed by a Group-Based Lifestyle Intervention in Women with Prediabetes following Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM)? Findings from a Randomized Control Mixed Methods Trial," Journal of Diabetes Research, vol. 2015, Article ID 798460, 11 pages, 2015.
- [27] Li G1, Zhang P, Wang J, Gregg EW, Yang W, Gong Q, Li H, Li H, Jiang Y, An Y, Shuai Y, Zhang B, Zhang J, Thompson TJ, Gerzoff RB, Roglic G, Hu Y, Bennett PH. The long-term effect of lifestyle interventions to prevent diabetes in the China Da Qing DiabetesPrevention Study: a 20-year follow-up study. Lancet. 2008 May 24;371(9626):1783-9.
- [28] Jadad Alejandro R, MD, Dphil, Moore R Andrew, DPhil, Carroll Dawn, RGN, Jenkinson Crispin, DPhil, Reynolds D John M, DPhil, Gavaghan DavidJ, DPhil, Henry J, McQuay DM. Assessing the Quality of Reports of Randomized Clinical Trials: Is Blinding Necessary? Controlled Clinical Trials. 1996;17:1–12.
- [29] Norris SL, Zhang X, Avenell A, et al. Long-term effectiveness of lifestyle and behavioral weight loss interventions in adults with type 2 diabetes: A meta-analysis. Am J Med. 2004; 117: (10): 762-74.
- [30] Terranova CO, Brakenridge CL, Lawler SP, Eakin EG, Reeves MM. Effectiveness of lifestyle-based weight loss interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes Obes Metab. 2015 Apr;17(4):371-8.
- [31] American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes-2012. Diabetes Care. 2012; 35 Suppl 1: S11-63.

IJSER

52

IJSER © 2018 http://www.ijser.org